



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/185,550	11/04/1998	MINORU SEKIGUCHI .	8261516JDH	5524	
21171 . 759	90 . 06/09/2003	•			
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER		
700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500			EDOUARD, PAT	EDOUARD, PATRICK NESTOR	
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2654	7	
	•		DATE MAILED: 06/09/2003	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/185,550**

Patrick N.Edouard

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

2654

SEKIGUCHI

			ш
-	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
	for Reply	TO EVRIDE 2 MONTH/S) EDOM	
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE MONTH(5) FROM	
- Extens	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
- If the - If NO - Failure - Any re	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	•	
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 19, 2		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-final.	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex particles.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is orte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposi	tion of Claims		
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-4 and 13	is/are pending in the application.	
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗔	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-4 and 13		
7) 🗀	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Applica	ition Papers		
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	$oldsymbol{a}$ accepted or $oldsymbol{b}) \Box$ objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examina	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:		
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received.	
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received in Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the		
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	**	
🗀	The translation of the foreign language provisiona		
15)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	s priority under 35 U.S.C. 99 120 and/or 121.	
Attachm	ent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summery (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
	otice of Draftsperson's Petent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:	
	 -		

Application/Control Number: 09/185,550 Page 2

Art Unit: 2644

2.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to communication filed 2/19/03 (paper #6). Claims 1-4 and 13 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kondo (6,396,954) in view of Young et al (6,064,959).

As per claims 1, 2 and 13, Kondo teaches a sensor data process method for processing data obtained from a sensor, comprising the steps of:

"storing data groups in a database in which a word is attached to each of said data group, said data groups being obtained by classifying inputs from said sensor directly of after processing" (figure 6, his integrated parameter construction 26);

"Classifying an input from said sensor using said database to output a classification result" (figure 6, his classification data construction unit 24).

Application/Control Number: 09/185,550

Art Unit: 2644

It is noted that Kondo teaches the claimed invention but does not explicitly tech "Temporarily storing input data from said sensor as data of a new data group after classifying said input data when it is determined that said input data does not belong to any of said groups classified in said database"; and" attaching a word to said data of said new group temporarily stored to store said data of new group in said database". However, this feature is well known in the art as evidenced by Young et al who teach at col. 20 lines 13-41, when the system does not recognize a word, it activates the word from a backup dictionary by adding the word to the active dictionary. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to incorporate into Kondo a backup dictionary for activating the word as taught by Young et al because it provide a more versatile system capable of adding new word to the active dictionary.

Page 3

As per claims 3 and 4, Kondo et al teach status judging means for judging a status using a certain word attached to a group (figure 6, his adaptivity determination unit 27).

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231 or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry) Or:

(703) 305-9508 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Application/Control Number: 09/185,550

Art Unit: 2644

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 11, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick N. Edouard whose telephone number is (703) 308-6725. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 07:30 a.m.-6:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold, can be reached on (703) 305-4379.

The facsimile phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 305-9508. Alternatively, facsimile messages may be sent directly to (703) 305-9644 where they will be stored in the examiner's voice mailbox (telling the examiner that a fax was received) and be automatically printed (i.e. - no delay by the examiner).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Patrick N. Edouard

May 1, 2003

PATRICK N. EDOUARD
PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4